DECISION RECORD INTRODUCTION OF NEW FISH SPECIES

Water Name: Newlan Creek Reservoir

County: Meagher

Legal Description: T10N R6E S11

Fish Species Proposed for Introduction: Kokanee

Description of Public Notification/Review Process:

A draft environmental assessment was posted on the Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks webpage from April 30, 2014 through May 30, 2014.

Summary of Public Comments:

No written or phone comments were received from the public. FWP staff discussed the proposal with two anglers at Newlan Creek Reservoir on May 8, 2014 incidental to other work being conducted in the area. These anglers indicated they were supportive of the proposal to introduce kokanee into Newlan Creek Reservoir. When asked why they would support the introduction, they believed kokanee were desirable to many anglers because of the challenge presented with catching them, they fight well, and they are good to eat.

Recommendation:

Proceed with stocking kokanee into Newlan Creek Reservoir.

Regional Fisheries Manager	Date	
Jun Spis	May 31, 2014	

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF FISH INTRODUCTION

Description of water body:

Name: Newlan Creek Reservoir Water Body Code: 17-9330

County: Meagher

Legal description: T10N, R6E, S11

Name of the drainage where the water is located:

Newlan Creek Reservoir is an impoundment on Newlan Creek which is a tributary of the Smith River.

Fish species proposed for introduction:

Kokanee

Is this species legally present in the drainage?

Yes, 352,700 kokanee were stocked in Lake Sutherlin from 1952-1958. Lake Sutherlin is located in the North Fork Smith River. There are no known wild populations of kokanee in the Smith River drainage. Kokanee are present in trace levels downstream in the Missouri River. These fish are stocked in the Helena Valley Regulating Reservoir and Holter Lake and infrequently spill over/through Hauser and Holter dams.

Species of Special Concern present in the drainage:

No species of special concern are present in the immediate drainage.

RISKS:	
Potential for imp	pacts on genetic structure of existing fish populations: X None Minor Major
Comments: None	e expected.
Impacts to any I None X Minor	ife stage of existing fish populations due to competition and/or predation? Major
Comments:	Kokanee eat plankton. They would only compete for food with other trout species in the reservoir. The other trout species (rainbow, brown, cutthroat, brook) also eat plankton in addition to other food items such as aquatic insects, crayfish and other fish. Suckers and burbot are the only other wild fish in the reservoir. Competition for food between suckers and kokanee would be a negative impact to young suckers, but this species is not considered valuable in Newlan Creek Reservoir as a game species. Impacts to suckers are not expected to be substantial. Burbot are a game fish found in the reservoir. No competition for food or space between burbot and kokanee are anticipated. Burbot may prey upon kokanee.
Impacts to other forms of aquatic life that may be caused by this introduction? None _X Minor Major	
Comments:	Plankton diversity and abundance may be reduced by kokanee.
	Kokanee typically live for 3-4 years. After spawning, or attempted spawning, the fish typically die. Kokanee carcasses would be consumed by crayfish, burbot and fish eating birds.
Potential for the	proposed new species to reproduce in this location: None _X Minor _ Major
Comments:	Some kokanee may reproduce in the creek that feeds the reservoir or along rocky shoals of the reservoir. Newlan Creek is highly silted and does not provide good spawning habitat for kokanee.

If necessary, would it be feasible to remove this species after it has been stocked? Yes, it would be feasible. Nearly every kokanee population in Montana is dependent on hatchery stocking to maintain at sport levels. As such, controlling the population would be possible by reducing or eliminating stocking.

Would this introduction result in impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? No.

Describe reasonable and prudent alternatives to this action, if any (including no action). Do not stock.

Describe and evaluate mitigation, stipulations, or other control measures enforceable by the agency, if any.

Mitigation is not applicable because no quantifiable impact has been identified.

List any other agencies or individuals that may be affected by the proposed introduction:

The intended purpose is to provide a positive impact by providing angling opportunity for a species desired by Montana anglers.

List all agencies and individuals who have been notified of this proposed introduction:

The EA will be posted on the Montana FWP website. A number of anglers in the Great Falls and White Sulphur Springs areas were contacted prior to developing this EA in order to conduct preliminary scoping of public interest and to identify unforeseeable impacts. No impacts were identified through preliminary scoping. There appears to be public support for developing this aspect of the Newlan Creek Reservoir fishery.

Based on this evaluation, is an EIS required? YES/NO? If no, explain why the EA is the appropriate level of analysis for the proposed action.

No. Impacts expected to be very minor.

EA prepared by: Grant Grisak Date: April 30, 2014

Comments will be accepted until: May 30, 2014

Comments should be sent to: MDFW&P, 4600 Giant Springs Rd, Great Falls, MT 59405

